# Quantitative transfer of a methyl group from a methyl(hydrido)iridium complex to $SiRH_3$ (R = n-butyl, pentyl or hexyl) to give $SiR(Me)H_2$ and a dihydridoiridium complex

## Masaaki Okazaki, Hiromi Tobita\* and Hiroshi Ogino\*

Department of Chemistry, Graduate School of Science, Tohoku University, Sendai 980-77, Japan

Thermal reaction of  $[Ir(Me)(H)\{\eta^2-Me_2Si(CH_2)_2PPh_2\}(PMe_3)_2]$  with  $SiRH_3$  (R=n-butyl, pentyl or hexyl) resulted in silicon–carbon bond formation to give  $SiR(Me)H_2$  and  $[IrH_2\{\eta^2-Me_2Si(CH_2)_2PPh_2\}(PMe_3)_2]$ . Isolation of  $Si(n-C_6H_{13})MeH_2$  was achieved by preparative gas chromatography.

Stoichiometric conversion of alkyl(hydrido) complexes into functionalised organic compounds can be an important preliminary to development of the transition-metal-catalysed alkane functionalisation reactions. Only a very limited number of such conversions have been reported. In 1983, Janowicz and Bergman found that treatment of [IrR(H)( $\eta$ -C<sub>5</sub>Me<sub>5</sub>)(PMe<sub>3</sub>)] with bromoform followed by HgCl<sub>2</sub> led to the formation of [IrBr(Cl)( $\eta$ -C<sub>5</sub>Me<sub>5</sub>)(PMe<sub>3</sub>)] and HgR(Cl), and the latter gave RBr by adding bromine. Baker and Field reported that [Fe(C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>11</sub>)H(dmpe)<sub>2</sub>] (dmpe = Me<sub>2</sub>PCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>PMe<sub>2</sub>) was transformed to 1-bromopentane by direct treatment with bromine. We report here a new reaction in which the methyl group of [IrMe(H){ $\eta$ ^2-Me<sub>2</sub>Si(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>}(PMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>4</sup> is stoichiometrically transferred to SiRH<sub>3</sub> (R = n-butyl, pentyl or hexyl) to give SiR(Me)H<sub>2</sub> and [IrH<sub>2</sub>{ $\eta$ ^2-Me<sub>2</sub>Si(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>}(PMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>].

## **Results and Discussion**

Treatment of  $[IrMe(H){\eta^2-Me_2Si(CH_2)_2PPh_2}(PMe_3)_2]$  **1** with 1 equivalent of  $SiRH_3$  (R = n-butyl, pentyl or hexyl) in  $C_6D_6$  at 45 °C led to the almost exclusive formation of [IrH<sub>2</sub>{η<sup>2</sup>-Me<sub>2</sub>Si- $(CH_2)_2PPh_2$  $(PMe_3)_2$ ] 2 and  $SiR(Me)H_2$  within 1 h (Scheme 1). After removal of volatiles, recrystallisation from toluene-hexane afforded colourless crystals of 2 in 71% isolated yield. Complex 2 was independently synthesized by the reaction of [IrCl(H)- $\{\eta^2\text{-Me}_2\text{Si}(CH_2)_2\text{PPh}_2\}(PMe_3)_2$  with LiAlH<sub>4</sub> in tetrahydrofuran (thf). The IrH signals appear in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum as two doublets of triplets at  $\delta$  -11.70 [J(HP<sub>trans</sub>) = 114.0,  $J(HP_{cis}) = 16.5$ ] and -12.62 [ $J(HP_{trans}) = 108.0$ ,  $J(HP_{cis}) = 21.0$  Hz] split by P–H couplings. The <sup>31</sup>P-{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectrum exhibits signals of three inequivalent mutually coupled phosphorus atoms with nearly identical cis P-P coupling constants, which establishes that 2 possesses three phosphorus atoms in a fac relationship. The IR, mass spectral and analytical data are also consistent with the composition shown in Scheme 1. Isolation of Si(n-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>13</sub>)MeH<sub>2</sub> in 52% yield was carried out by preparative gas chromatography. Characterisation of all the compounds of type SiR(Me)H<sub>2</sub> was based on comparison of the NMR spectra with the authentic samples synthesized by LiAlH<sub>4</sub> reduction of SiR(Me)Cl<sub>2</sub>. Only recently, Aizenberg and Milstein<sup>5</sup> reported that when [IrMe(H)(SiEt<sub>3</sub>)(PMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>] was heated to 100 °C not only C-H but also Si-C reductive elimination occurred to give CH4 and SiMeEt3. The ratio of CH4 to SiMeEt<sub>3</sub> was about 4:1. Our reaction in Scheme 1 is the first clean transformation of an alkyl(hydrido) complex into a silicon-containing organic product. Transition-metal-mediated Si-C bond formation reactions have attracted increasing attention in relation to the catalytic transformation of hydrosilane.<sup>6</sup>

A conventional mechanism for the reaction in Scheme 1 involving oxidative addition/reductive elimination can be con-

Si P = Me<sub>2</sub>Si(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>

$$\begin{array}{c}
H \\
H \\
PMe_3 \\
1
\end{array}$$
+ SiR(Me)H<sub>2</sub>

$$\begin{array}{c}
F \\
P \\
PMe_3
\end{array}$$

**Scheme 1** R = n-butyl, pentyl or hexyl. (i) C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 1 h, 45 °C

$$\begin{array}{c|c}
1 & \xrightarrow{(i)} & \begin{bmatrix}
Si & H & Me \\
P & H & SiRH_2 \\
PMe_3
\end{bmatrix} & \xrightarrow{(ii)} & 2
\end{array}$$

**Scheme 2** R = n-butyl, pentyl or hexyl. (i)  $+SiRH_3$ ,  $-PMe_3$ ; (ii)  $+PMe_3$ ,  $-SiR(Me)H_2$ 

sidered, as shown in Scheme 2. According to Scheme 2, carbon-silicon reductive elimination has to occur preferentially over carbon- or hydrogen-hydrogen reductive elimination. This assumption is in contradiction to the usual tendency of reductive elimination, <sup>7</sup> although we cannot rule out this mechanism.

An alternative mechanism is illustrated in Scheme 3. This involves the initial generation of a seven-co-ordinate iridium(v) intermediate  $\bf A$  by dissociation of a PMe<sub>3</sub> ligand and subsequent Si–H oxidative addition. Similar seven-co-ordinate trihydridobis(siyl)-iridium(v)<sup>8</sup> and -rhodium(v)<sup>9</sup> complexes have been reported recently. The intermediate  $\bf A$  eliminates dihydrogen to give a methylsilylene intermediate  $\bf B$ .<sup>10</sup> Intermediate  $\bf B$  undergoes a 1,2 shift of the Me ligand to the silylene silicon atom to give a hydrido(methylsilyl)iridium(III) complex  $\bf C$ .<sup>11</sup> Berry *et al*.<sup>12</sup> reported facile migration of a silyl ligand from tantalum to an alkylidene at -10 °C. Oxidative addition of H<sub>2</sub> to  $\bf C$  gives a seven-co-ordinate iridium(v) species  $\bf D$ , which subsequently eliminates SiR(Me)H<sub>2</sub> and binds a PMe<sub>3</sub> to give 2.

Reaction of compound 1 with  $Si(n-C_5H_{11})H_3$  in the presence of PMe<sub>3</sub> (5 equivalents) was carried out. The Si–C bond formation was completely inhibited. This means that the reaction in Scheme 1 requires the initial dissociation of a PMe<sub>3</sub> ligand.

We carried out the thermal reaction of compound **1** with a monohydrosilane  $Si(C_6H_4Me-p)Me_2H$  from which it is impossible to generate a silylene moiety *via* dehydrogenation as illustrated in Scheme 3. In contrast to the reaction with trihydrosilanes in Scheme 1 (at 45 °C, 1 h) the reaction with  $Si(C_6H_4Me-p)Me_2H$  was extremely slow at 45 °C. At 55 °C it proceeded almost quantitatively to give  $[IrH\{Si(C_6H_4Me-p)-Me_2H\}]$ 

1 
$$\underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \text{Si} \\ \text{P} \\ \text{PMe}_3 \end{array}}_{\text{Ir}} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \text{Ni} \\ \text{PMe}_3 \end{array}}_{\text{Iii}} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \text{Si} \\ \text{PMe}_3 \end{array}}_{\text{Iii}} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \text{Si} \\ \text{PMe}_3 \end{array}}_{\text{Iii}} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \text{Si} \\ \text{PMe}_3 \end{array}}_{\text{Ir}} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \text{PMe}_3 \end{array}}_{\text{Ir}} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \text{P$$

**Scheme 3** R = n-butyl, pentyl or hexyl. (i) +SiRH<sub>3</sub>, -PMe<sub>3</sub>; (ii) -H<sub>2</sub>; (iii) +H<sub>2</sub>; (iv) +PMe<sub>3</sub>

**Scheme 4** (*i*) C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 6 h, 55 °C, -MeH

 $Me_2\}\{\eta^2\text{-}Me_2Si(CH_2)_2PPh_2\}(PMe_3)_2]$  **3** within 6 h (Scheme 4). Crystallisation from toluene–hexane gave colourless crystals in 82% isolated yield. The formation of methane was confirmed by  $^1H$  NMR spectroscopy ( $\delta$  0.15 in  $C_6D_6$ ). The Si–C bond formation product  $Si(C_6H_4Me\text{-}p)Me_3$  and **2** were not detected spectroscopically. These results indicate that the rate-determining step of the reaction needs a condition slightly more drastic than that in Scheme 1, but even under the conditions 55 °C, 6 h Si–C reductive elimination does not occur at all. These observations favour the mechanism in Scheme 3 involving the silylene intermediate for the metal-mediated siliconcarbon bond formation reaction in Scheme 1.

We are now trying to apply this stoichiometric reaction to the transition-metal catalysed hydrosilane–alkane dehydrogenative coupling reactions.

## **Experimental**

All manipulations were carried out under a dry nitrogen atmosphere. Reagent-grade toluene, hexane and thf were distilled from sodium–benzophenone immediately before use. [ $^2H_6$ ]Benzene was dried over a potassium mirror and transferred to NMR tubes under vacuum. The compounds SiRH $_3$  (R = n-C $_4$ H $_9$ , C $_5$ H $_{11}$  or C $_6$ H $_{13}$ ), SiR(Me)H $_2$  (R = n-C $_4$ H $_9$ , C $_5$ H $_{11}$  or C $_6$ H $_{13}$ ) and Si(C $_6$ H $_4$ Me-p)Me $_2$ H were prepared by LiAlH $_4$  reduction of the appropriate chlorosilane. Other chemicals were from Wako Pure Chemical Industries, used as received. All NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker ARX-300 spectrometer,  $^1$ H referenced to residual internal C $_6$ D $_5$ H at  $\delta$  7.15,

 $^{29}\mathrm{Si}$  by the distortionless enhancement of polarisation transfer (DEPT) pulse sequence, and chemical shifts were measured relative to internal tetramethylsilane. In  $^{31}\mathrm{P}$  NMR spectra the chemical shifts were relative to external 85%  $\mathrm{H_3PO_4}$  with downfield values reported as positive. The IR spectra were recorded on a Bruker IFS66v spectrometer.

# Reaction of [IrMe(H) $\{\eta^2$ -Me<sub>2</sub>Si(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub> $\}$ (PMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>] 1 with Si(n-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>13</sub>)H<sub>3</sub>

A Pyrex NMR tube (5 mm outside diameter) was charged with compound 1 (7.0 mg, 0.011 mmol) and  $Si(n-C_6H_{13})H_3$  (1.7 µl, 0.011 mmol) and C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub> (0.7 cm<sup>3</sup>) was introduced to the tube under high vacuum by the trap-to-trap transfer technique. The tube was flame-sealed. The sample was placed in an oil-bath, where it was kept at 45 °C. The reaction was monitored by <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>31</sup>P and <sup>29</sup>Si NMR spectroscopy. After 1 h at 45 °C the clean formation of  $[IrH_2\{\hat{\eta}^2-Me_2Si(CH_2)_2PPh_2\}(PMe_3)_2]$  2 and Si-(n-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>13</sub>)MeH<sub>2</sub> was confirmed spectroscopically. Isolation of 2 was carried out as follows. A Pyrex tube (10 mm outside diameter) was charged with 1 (320 mg, 0.506 mmol) and  $Si(n-C_6H_{13})H_3$  (60 mg, 0.516 mmol), and benzene (0.8 cm<sup>3</sup>) was introduced under high vacuum by the trap-to-trap transfer technique. This tube was flame-sealed. The sample was placed in an oil-bath, where it was kept at 45 °C for 1 h. The tube was opened in a glove-bag, and the solution concentrated under high vacuum. Crystallisation of the residue from toluenehexane afforded colourless crystals of 2 (222 mg, 0.36 mmol, 71%) (Found: C, 43.78; H, 6.26. C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>52</sub>IrP<sub>3</sub>Si·0.125C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>CH<sub>3</sub> requires C, 43.66; H, 6.57%). The molar ratio of the complex 2 to the associated toluene was confirmed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR data: m/z 618 ( $M^+$ , 10) and 616 (M-2 H, 100%);  $\tilde{v}_{max}/cm^{-1}$  (KBr) 2021, 1996 (IrH);  $\delta_{H}$ (300 MHz,  $C_{6}D_{6}$ ) 7.74–7.67, 7.56–7.50, 7.16–6.94 (10 H, m, aryl), 2.59, 1.86 (1 H × 2, m, PCH<sub>2</sub>), 1.32 [9 H, d, J(HP) 7.4, PMe<sub>3</sub>], 1.08 [3 H, d, J(HP) 1.5, SiMe], 1.10, 0.73 (1 H × 2, m, SiCH<sub>2</sub>), 1.02 [9 H, d, J(HP) 8.0, PMe<sub>3</sub>], 0.75 [3 H, d, J(HP) 4.8, SiMe], -11.70 [1 H, dt, J(HP<sub>trans</sub>) 114.0, J(HP<sub>cis</sub>) 16.5, IrH] and -12.62 [1 H, dt,  $J(HP_{trans})$  108.0,  $J(HP_{cis})$  21.0, IrH];  $\delta_{\rm C}(75.5 \text{ MHz}, C_6D_6)$  141.4, 140.0, 132.7, 132.0, 128.9, 128.2, 127.8, 127.7 (aryl), 36.6 [dd, J(CP) 37.7, 11.2, PCH<sub>2</sub>], 26.4 [dt, J(CP) 24.8, 3.5, PMe<sub>3</sub>], 23.5 [ddd, J(CP) 28.2, 5.7, 3.5, PMe<sub>3</sub>], 21.1 [dd, J(CP) 23.3, 6.1, SiCH<sub>2</sub>], 14.5 [ddd, J(CP) 8.8, 6.0, 1.3, SiMe] and 7.2 [ddd, J(CP) 8.5, 3.2, 2.0, SiMe];  $\delta_P$ (121.5 Hz,  $C_6D_6$ ) -62.3 [dd,  $J(PP_{cis})$  23.1, 17.0, PMe<sub>3</sub> (trans to IrSi)], -57.0 [dd, J(PP<sub>cis</sub>) 23.1, 20.7, PMe<sub>3</sub> (trans to IrH)], 35.1 [dd,  $J(PP_{cis})$  17.0, 20.7,  $PPh_2$ ];  $\delta_{Si}(59.6 \text{ MHz}, C_6D_6)$  14.7 [ddd, J(SiP<sub>trans</sub>) 120.8, J(SiP<sub>cis</sub>) 9.6, 6.7 Hz].

#### Reaction of compound 1 with Si(n-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>9</sub>)H<sub>3</sub> or Si(n-C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>11</sub>)H<sub>3</sub>

The procedure was the same as that with  $Si(n-C_6H_{13})H_3$  described above. The quantitative formation of compound  ${\bf 2}$  and the corresponding methyldihydrosilanes were also confirmed spectroscopically.

## Purification of $Si(n-C_6H_{13})MeH_2$ produced in the reaction of compound 1 with $Si(n-C_6H_{13})H_3$

A Pyrex tube (10 mm outside diameter) was charged with compound 1 (320 mg, 0.506 mmol) and  $\mathrm{Si}(\mathit{n}\text{-}C_6\mathrm{H}_{13})\mathrm{H}_3$  (60 mg, 0.516 mmol) and benzene (0.8 cm³) introduced under high vacuum by the trap-to-trap transfer technique. The tube was flame-sealed. The sample was placed in an oil-bath, where it was kept at 45 °C for 1 h. The tube was opened in a glove-bag, and the solution passed through a short silica gel column to remove the iridium complex. The filtrate was injected into a preparative gas chromatograph to give pure  $\mathrm{Si}(\mathit{n}\text{-}C_6\mathrm{H}_{13})\mathrm{MeH}_2$ . Yield 34 mg (52%).

## Synthesis of $[IrH_2\{\eta^2-Me_2Si(CH_2)_2PPh_2\}(PMe_3)_2]$ 2

Tetrahydrofuran (50 cm<sup>3</sup>) was added to  $[IrCl(H){\eta^2}$ -

Me<sub>2</sub>Si(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>}(PMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>4</sup> (0.20 g, 0.31 mmol) and LiAlH<sub>4</sub> (0.12 g, 3.4 mmol) at  $-48\,^{\circ}$ C, and the mixture was slowly warmed to room temperature. It was stirred at room temperature for 2 h. Volatile materials were removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was extracted by toluene–hexane (2:1). The extract was filtered through an alumina column and the solvent removed from the filtrate under reduced pressure. Recrystallisation of the residue from toluene–hexane afforded [IrH<sub>2</sub>{ $\eta^2$ -Me<sub>2</sub>Si(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>}(PMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>] **2** (0.11 g, 0.18 mmol, 58% yield) as colourless crystals.

# Reaction of compound 1 with $Si(\textbf{n}\text{-}C_5H_{11})H_3$ in the presence of $PMe_3$

A Pyrex NMR tube (5 mm outside diameter) was charged with compound 1 (10.0 mg, 0.0158 mmol),  $Si(\emph{n-}C_5H_{11})H_3$  (3 mg, 0.029 mmol) and PMe $_3$  (8.2  $\mu l$ , 0.079 mmol) and  $C_6D_6$  (0.7 cm³) was introduced under high vacuum by the trap-to-trap transfer technique. The NMR tube was flame-sealed. The sample was warmed up to 45 °C in an oil-bath and kept for 1 h. No change was observed in  $^1H$  and  $^{31}P\text{-}\{^1H\}$  NMR spectra.

## Reaction of compound 1 with Si(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>Me-p)Me<sub>2</sub>H

A Pyrex NMR tube was charged with compound 1 (10.0 mg, 0.0158 mmol) and Si(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>Me-p)Me<sub>2</sub>H (2 equivalents, 5 mg) and C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub> (0.7 cm<sup>3</sup>) was introduced into the tube under high vacuum by the trap-to-trap transfer technique. The NMR tube was flame-sealed. The thermal reaction was monitored by <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectroscopy (45 to 55 °C). No change was observed spectroscopically at 45 °C for 1 h. At 55 °C for 6 h the clean formation of  $[IrH{Si(C_6H_4Me-p)Me_2}{\eta^2-Me_2Si(CH_2)_2}$ PPh<sub>2</sub>}(PMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>] 3 was observed. It was isolated as follows. A Pyrex tube (10 mm outside diameter) was charged with 1 (0.25 g, 0.40 mmol) and Si(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>Me-p)Me<sub>2</sub> (60 mg, 0.40 mmol) and toluene (3 cm³) was introduced by the trap-to-trap transfer technique. The sample was placed in an oil-bath, where it was kept at 55 °C for 6 h. The tube was opened in a glove-box. Removal of volatiles under reduced pressure resulted in a colourless oily residue. Recrystallisation of the residue from toluene-hexane gave 3 (0.25 g, 0.33 mmol, 82% yield) as colourless crystals (Found: C, 48.61; H, 6.95. C<sub>31</sub>H<sub>52</sub>IrP<sub>3</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> requires C, 48.60; H, 6.84%); m/z 766 ( $M^+$  – 2) and 616 [M –  $Si(C_6H_4$ -Me-p)Me<sub>2</sub>H, 100%];  $\tilde{\nu}_{max}/cm^{-1}$  (KBr) 2031 (IrH);  $\delta_{H}(300\ MHz,$  $C_6D_6$ ) 8.25-8.21, 7.66-7.57, 7.30-7.27, 7.12-6.89 (14 H, m, aryl), 2.27 (3 H, s,  $C_6H_4CH_3$ ), 2.20, 1.95 (1 H × 2, m, PCH<sub>2</sub>), 1.13 [3 H, d, J(HP) 1.8, SiMe], 1.10 [9 H, d, J(HP) 7.4, PMe<sub>3</sub>], 1.06 [3 H, d, J(HP) 1.9, SiMe], 1.05, 0.70 (1 H × 2, m, SiCH<sub>2</sub>), 0.97 [3 H, d, J(HP) 2.0, SiMe], 0.96 [9 H, d, J(HP) 7.3, PMe<sub>3</sub>], 0.69 [3 H, d, J(HP) 2.2, SiMe] and -12.41 [1 H, dt, J(HP $_{tran}$ ) 101.2, J(HP $_{cis}$ ) 17.0, IrH];  $\delta_{\rm C}$ (75.5 MHz,  $C_{\rm 6}D_{\rm 6}$ ) 148.3, 141.3, 136.7, 135.8, 135.7, 133.6, 132.2, 129.9, 129.1, 128.5, 128.2, 128.1 (aryl), 35.0 [dd, J(CP) 35.5, 10.4, PCH<sub>2</sub>], 24.9 [ddd, J(CP) 24.8, 4.5, 2.6, PMe<sub>3</sub>], 23.2 [dt, J(CP) 27.8, 4.6, PMe<sub>3</sub>], 21.5 [dd, J(CP) 28.5, 5.4, SiCH<sub>2</sub>], 21.4 (s,  $C_{\rm 6}H_4CH_3$ ), 13.2 [t, J(CP) 5.0 Hz, SiMe], 12.3 [t, J(CP) 6.0, SiMe], 11.5 [ddd, J(CP) 8.9, 6.9, 3.2, SiMe] and 7.2 [dd, J(CP) 7.6, 3.5, SiMe];  $\delta_{\rm P}$ (121.5 MHz,  $C_{\rm 6}D_{\rm 6}$ ) 27.7 [dd, J(PP $_{cis}$ ) 25.5, 19.4, PPh<sub>2</sub>], -70.8 [dd, J(PP $_{cis}$ ) 25.5, 24.3, PMe<sub>3</sub> (trans to Si)] and -62.4 [dd, J(PP $_{cis}$ ) 19.4, 24.3, PMe<sub>3</sub> (trans to IrH)];  $\delta_{\rm Si}$ (59.6 MHz,  $C_{\rm 6}D_{\rm 6}$ ) 9.7 [ddd, J(SiP $_{trans}$ ) 122.8, J(SiP $_{cis}$ ) 10.7, 7.2] and -16.1 [ddd, J(SiP $_{trans}$ ) 122.8, J(SiP $_{cis}$ ) 14.3, 10.1 Hz].

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Kurata Research Grant from the Kurata Foundation. We thank Shin-Etsu Chemical Co. and Toray Dow Corning Silicone Co. for the gifts of silicon compounds.

#### References

- 1 B. A. Arndtsen, R. G. Bergman, T. A. Mobley and T. H. Peterson, Acc. Chem. Res., 1995, 28, 154.
- 2 A. H. Janowicz and R. G. Bergman, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1983, 105, 3929
- 3 M. V. Baker and L. D. Field, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1987, 109, 2825.
- 4 M. Okazaki, H. Tobita and H. Ogino, *Organometallics*, 1996, **15**, 2790.
- 5 M. Aizenberg and D. Milstein, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1995, 117, 6456.
- 6 P. Braunstein and M. Knorr, J. Organomet. Chem., 1995, 500, 21.
- 7 S. Sakaki and M. Ieki, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1993, **115**, 2373.
- 8 M. Loza, J. W. Faller and R. H. Crabtree, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1995, 34, 2937
- 9 H. Nagashima, K. Tatebe, T. Ishibashi, A. Nakaoka, J. Sakakibara and K. Itoh, *Organometallics*, 1995, **14**, 2868.
- 10 H. Handwerker, C. Leis, R. Probst, P. Bissinger, A. Grohman, P. Kiprof, E. Herdtweck, J. Blümel, N. Auner and C. Zybill, Organometallics, 1993, 12, 2162; B. P. S. Chauhan, R. J. P. Corriu, G. F. Lanneau and C. Priou, Organometallics, 1995, 14, 1657.
- 11 H. Sharma and K. H. Pannell, *Chem. Rev.*, 1995, **95**, 1351.
- 12 D. H. Berry, T. S. Koloski and P. J. Carroll, *Organometallics*, 1990, **9**, 2952.

Received 9th April 1997; Paper 7/02439H